

In re Application of:

RATH et al.

Serial No. 09/297, 33

Filed: May 4, 1999

For: POLYOLEFINS AND THEIR FUNCTIONALIZED DERIVATIVES

AUG 0 9 2000 CC)

RECEIVED

AUG 17 2000

**GROUP 1700** 

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on:

June 30 1999 Date of Deposit

Herbert B. Keil
Person Making Deposit

# PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.181 RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF MISSING REQUIREMENTS

Hon. Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Missing Requirements dated June 7, 1999, for the above-identified application, attached please find the executed declaration, assignment and a check for \$ 170.00. (surcharge for late filing of declaration and recordation fee)

Please charge any deficiency in fees due in connection with the filing of these paper to Deposit Account No. 11-0345.

Respectfully submitted,

KEIL & WEINKAUE

Herbert B. Keil Reg. No. 18,967

1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (202)659-0100

(202)659-0100

FILING RECEIPT



Pat ASL
OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE GRP ART UNIT FIL FEE REC'D ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. DRWGS TOT CL IND CL

09/297, \$\frac{33}{33} \quad 07/01/99 \quad 1714 \$885.00 \quad \frac{613929/11003}{613929/11003} \quad \quad \frac{6}{172000} \quad \qu

Receipt is acknowledged of this nonprovisional Patent Application. It will be considered in its order and you will be notified as to the results of the examination. Be sure to provide the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION when inquiring about this application. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Office of Initial Patent Examination's Customer Service Center. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts of Application" ("Missing Parts Notice") in this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the "Missing Parts Notice." When the PTO processes the reply to the "Missing Parts Notice," the PTO will generate another Filing Receipt Incorporating the requested

Applicant(s)

HANS PETER RATH, GRUNSTADT, FED REP GERMANY; IRENE TROTSCH-SCHALLER, BISSERSHEIM, FED REP GERMANY; DIETMAR POSSELT, HEIDELBERG, FED REP GERMANY; BERNHARD GEISSLER, KIRCHHEIM, FED REP GERMANY; JOHANN PETER-MELDER, NEUHOFEN, FED REP GERMANY; JOACHIM ROSCH, LUDWIGSHAFEN, FED REP GERMANY.

CONTINUING DATA AS CLAIMED BY APPLICANT- 0608
THIS APPLN IS A 371 OF PCT/EP97/06103 11/05/97

FOREIGN APPLICATIONS-

FED REP GERMANY

19645430.1

11/04/96

IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED 07/19/99 \*\* SMALL ENTITY \*\* TITLE

BIODEGRADABLE POLYMERIC COMPOSITIONS COMPRISING STARCH AND A THERMOPLASTIC POLYMER

PRELIMINARY CLASS: 524

rocard

DATA ENTRY BY: ORDONEZ, MARTA

TEAM: 11 DATE: 07/19/99



WASHINGTON DC 20036



### UNI

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address: Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO APPLICATION NO: 09/297,733 07/01/99 RATH H 013929/11003

> **EXAMINER** IM62/0803 RAJGURU, U

KEIL & WEINKAUF 1101 CONNECTIONT AVENUE

**ART UNIT** RECEPTED 1711

PAPER NUMBER

08/03/09

AUG 04 2000 KEIL & WENKAUF

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

AUG 17 2000 **GROUP** 1700

				し
AU6	0	9	20an	ũ

-		_	
.Unice	Action	Summ	arv
		-	

Application No. Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art SEREMAR

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXP	IREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30),days, a reply with the NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause</li> </ul>	in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
Status  ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1-22	is are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	s/are allowed.
(Claim(s) 1-22	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	Is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	ew, PTO-948.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	Title .
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to ☐  ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	The state of the s
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35  All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority copies of the priority copies of the priority copies of the priority copies.  □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the Internation	ority documents have been
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	rustus no literatura.
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	□ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other
Office Actio	on Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Colored da Company of the State of the State of

and the could have a fine washing the standard with a second

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1711

1

Claims 17 -19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17-19 provide for the use of the film made from (claimed) composition, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd. App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

- 2. Claims 5, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 14 and 16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP 608.01 (n). Accordingly, the claim have not been further treated on the merits.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1711

Claim 6 recites words "on average" in a parenthesis. It is not known if the matter in the parenthesis is or is not encompassed by the scope of this claim.

Claim 1 recites matter in parenthesis in lines 12-13.

claim 1 is further indefinite since it is not known how low can the value of pK be and how high HLB can be.

Claim 2 is indefinite in reciting "3 or more carbon atoms" and "2 or more alcohol groups" because one cannot known precisely how many carbon atoms or how many alcohol groups are envisioned.

- 4. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.
- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following formalities:

Words "selected from ..... compounds" in claim 1, lines 8-9, should be replaced with -which is one of the --.

Hyphen in claim 1 line 9 and dash in line 10 should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1711

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4, 6, 10, 11, 15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnan et al (WO 95/24447).

(Krishnan is of record on PTO-1449).

Krishnan discloses compatibilized blends of a biodegradable hydrophobic polyester, unmodified starch or other similar polysaccharide, other biodegradable polymers, plasticizers and additives. The polymer forms a continuous phase while starch forms a discontinuous one. A preferred biodegradable polymer is poly (E-caprolactone) (p. 7 line 20). Organic plasticizers, such as esters are included in the blend (p. 15, lines 21-30). Compatibilizers are also used (p. 13, lines 18-35). Also included in the blend may be certain additives that function as binders by complexing with starch (p. 19, lines 10-16). They include monoglycerides.

Krishnan does not mention the (claimed) dissociation constant pK and hydrophilic lipophilic balance index value HLB of the ester. Since Krishnan broadly teaches the (claimed)

Art Unit: 1711

glycerides, it is reasonable to assume that glycerides of Krishnan possess these properties.

Therefore it would have been obvious to follow teachings of Krishnan and arrive at instant invention. Since no criticality has been established for claimed pK to be lower than 4.5 and HLB to be greater than 8, instant claims are deemed to be prima facie obvious over Krishnam.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U. K. Rajguru whose telephone number is (703) 308-3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

(J. Rajguru/vr

07-26-00

07-31-00

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

Form PTO-1449 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ATTY. DOCKET NO.: C13929/110035 INTERNATIONAL (Rev. ) PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE APPLICATION NO.: PCT/EP97/06103 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary) APPLICANT Catia BASTIOLI, et al. INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE GROUP: November 5, 1997

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS 10 REC'D PCT/PTO 0 5 MAY 1999

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate
				-		
			•		RECEI	
					AUG 17	
				G	ROUP	1700

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Trans	slation
		•					Yes	No
(	A	WO 95/24447	3/1995	PCT				Х
	3-	WO 93/00399	6/1992	PCT				х
24/2	C	WO 92/19680	5/1992	PCT 4				х
MAK	A	EP 0 596 437 A2	11/1993	EP				X
7	E	EP 0 516 030 A2	5/1992	EP				-
							ر معاشد	
						,		

## OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

	International Search Report dated March 5, 1998 corresponding to PCT/EP9	07/06103			

EXAMINER U.K. RAJGURU	DATE CONSIDERED UN 19,2000
Francisco Tribial (Calenda and add add add add add add add add	in it is a second of the secon

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.